SECRET

14

CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY ORE 100-49

Revised 8 March 1950

FRENCH LABOR UNREST IN 1950 AND ITS HIPLICATIONS FOR THE ATTAINMENT OF US OBJECTIVES IN WESTERN EUROPE

Labor discontent, which has been building up steadily over the past six months, will be aggravated in 1950. This prospect is of particular concern to the US because labor unrest will be among the basic factors which will contribute to a slowing down of French progress toward objectives that the US has set for Europe. Although it is impossible to make specific quantitative estimates of the direct or residual effects of labor unrest as such on progress toward particular US goals, it is demonstrable that the effects now anticipated will have seriously adverse consequences for the US. Specifically, the following results are to be expected: (1) The expanded efforts, which the whole French nation must now make if the ERP is to be concluded in 1952-53 with reasonable assurance of long-term economic gains, will be retarded; (2) France's fulfillment of its role in the first year of the MDAP will be rendered more difficult, with adverse effects on the development of the North Atlantic Alliance; and (3) because France will be weakened economically and politically in the face of a resurgent Germany, the chances are lessened that

Note: This report is in process of coordination with the intelligence organizations of the Departments of State, Army, Navy, and the Air Force. It contains information available to CIA as of 15 February 1950.

the French will attain the position of leadership on the continent which had been assumed in US planning. A major factor in all these unfavorable trends will be the Communist-dominated General Labor Confederation (CGT), which will derive considerable advantage from exploiting labor's economic grievances.

Substantial reduction of French labor's dissatisfaction over the next few years could be accomplished only by a decrease in the disparities and inequities that result from the widely varying standards of living in France\* (a major reform which the non-labor majority of the nation will not concede); and/or an increase in the volume of consumer goods available to the majority of the workers, a measure which, if it could be carried out, might reduce discontent to minor proportions. Progress in either of these directions will, however, be slight at least through 1950. The basic problem will be solved neither through such wage increases as are likely in the next few months nor through any discrediting of Communist leadership of the General Labor Confederation (CGT).

Ineffectual efforts of union leaders to achieve substantial improvement in labor's purchasing power, as well as the workers' intensified discontent itself, will produce adverse consequences for French economic activity and political stability.\*\* Because it will be unable to paralyze the economy or

<sup>\*</sup> See Appendix I

<sup>\*\*</sup> For recent and prospective aggravation of worker discontent, see Appendix II.

to gain decisive political power, labor will be unable to force prompt and liberal concessions from the government. Obviously this inability to force a substantial rise in real wages will prolong labor's dissatisfaction and the ill effects that will follow.

The direct effects in 1950 are expected to include:

- (1) Increased unrest among the workers and their leaders, encouraged, of course, by the CGT.
- (2) A growing number of strikes, both local and industry-wide.
- (3) Intensified labor pressure on the government.
- (4) An appreciable rise in money wage levels, perhaps amounting over the year to 15-20 percent.
- (5) Diminished incentive toward maximum production among individual workers.\*

### 1. Economic Considerations.

The anticipated strikes will be among the major developments — including greater marketing difficulties and higher taxes on business — which will probably prevent increased industrial production. Interruptions in the flow of materials and in production processes over a number of months will act as a drag both on the volume of production and on productivity. Productivity will be adversely affected also by lowered labor morale. Besides directly impairing the workers' efficiency, lower morale will render them more receptive to Communist propaganda which charges that the demand for higher productivity

<sup>\*</sup> These direct effects are more fully discussed in Appendix III.

is a capitalist device for exploiting the workers without recompensing them through higher wages.

In December 1949, the French production index was still 6 points below the May 1949 postwar peak of 131 percent of 1938. The goal for 1952-53 is 143 percent of 1938, which, even without intensified labor unrest, would not be easily attained in view of decreasing ECA aid, increasing foreign competition, and other factors. Under the circumstances, the chances of reaching the 1952-53 goal are exceedingly slim.

The French must increase their production levels substantially in the next two years and must make rapid strides in reducing production costs if they are to expand exports and balance their foreign payments accounts by the end of the ERP without suffering a decline in the standard of living. French industry and agriculture are insisting that they be given some time to cut costs before accepting keen foreign competition.\* Such competition, nevertheless, is inherent in full economic integration, without which ECA believes economic stability at a high level of activity is impossible for any Western European nation.

The present stability, moreover, of the French economy — as well as planned levels of economic activity — will be impaired in 1950 by disturbances in production, the growing antagonisms in labor-management relations, and the inflationary impact resulting both from interruptions in the scheduled production of goods and from the expected rise in money wages. Existing

<sup>\*</sup> A tentative OEEC study (September 1949) of comparative labor costs per unit of output established the following indices of minimum costs compared to 1938: France, 206; West Germany, 141; UK, 175; Netherlands, 185; US, 190; and Italy, 194. Although average industrial productivity rose about 15 percent in France in 1949, it is still only slightly above 1938 levels and is at approximately one-fourth of present US levels.

inflationary pressures (see Appendix II) are likely to remain sufficiently strong for several months so that the "first round" of wage increases in some major industries will at least temporarily tend to encourage further price increases. Later in 1950, a further rise in money wages might well not be appreciably inflationary because it would probably be too gradual and the result of too many separate management policy decisions to permit concerted moves by industry for proportionate price increases. An indirect inflationary effect will, however, almost certainly be produced by the wage increases which cannot be avoided in the nationalized industries. Partly in order to meet their expanded wage costs, the government will very probably be forced, in view of political handicaps, to engage in greater inflationary borrowing than has been necessary since 1947.

Such a development in public fiscal policy, as well as a prolongation of the current steady price rise, would be a fundamental blow to the considerable improvement over the past two years in the stability of the French economy. Further improvement in this stability, which ECA pursues both in its direct ERF dealings with the French Government and, in part, by its accelerated campaign for European economic integration, will thus be hampered by the residual effects of labor discontent.

### 2. Political Considerations.

Third Force Governments—the only kind of French Government foreseeable with the present Assembly—will be increasingly vulnerable to labor pressure. This pressure can be exerted directly either in the form of demands and warnings of labor's spokesmen or through actual work stoppages. Indirectly,

- 5 -

all the adverse consequences of labor unrest—as it affects production, productivity, price levels, and Communist capabilities—will tend to magnify the disagreements among the middle-of-the-road parties.

Even before the Socialists' withdrawal from the government early in February 1950, labor could exert considerable political pressure on Third Force Governments in that the anti-Communist Force Ouvriére (FO) was frequently able to influence government policy through the Socialist ministers, while the Christian Labor Confederation (CFTC), through its connections with the left wing of the Popular Republican Party (ERP), was and remains able to influence its actions. The current seizure of the initiative by labor, which led to the Socialists' withdrawal from the already wobbly Third Force coalition, risks the downfall of the present government and, subsequently, the dissolution of the Assembly. Although the Socialists apparently hope to avert a showdown in the Assembly which might hasten this event, labor is now in a position to force their hand in pressing for prompt satisfaction of its demands. Leanwhile, the Socialist' withdrawal from the coalition deprives the government of their restraining hand on non-Communist labor's strike activities.

Regardless of any changes in the government, the Communist Party through the strike tactics and the enhanced prestige of the CGT may well succeed, at least temporarily, in reversing its steady loss of influence in France in 1949 — a trend which would somewhat strengthen its political power.

- 6 -

## 3. Military Considerations.

The Mutal Defense Assistance Program (MDAP), although it does not anticipate any French contribution in the next few months beyond that of manpower, does entail utilization at a later date of the modest capabilities which France may gradually develop (with US aid) for furnishing material and equipment. The effects of labor unrest will be a limiting factor on such capabilities through 1950.

The French Communist Party has already revealed its determination to wage an unremitting struggle in France against the IDAP. The CGT's longrange capabilities in this respect will be assisted by the direct effects of labor discontent, despite any reluctance the non-Communist workers may have to answer clearly political CGT calls for work stoppages in obstruction of MDAP shipments. The Communists will fully exploit the CGT's strike tactics and membership drives, as well as the agents it maintains in industries critical for the progress of the MDAP. As the workers in the near future increase their efforts to obtain wage increases, the CGT will find it more and more feasible to call strikes on economic grounds which will at the same time promote their anti-NDAP objective. The CGT's position as the strong champion of metal workers and dockers will be especially useful to the Communists' efforts to discredit the program, and disrupt the production and distribution of armaments. Despite some government progress in reducing direct Communist penetration of labor in the nationalized industries, the Party still has agents, particularly in the aircraft industry,

capable of causing work stoppages and sabotage.

Aside from the assistance which the Communists may be expected to derive from labor unrest, the workers' discontent will also have adverse consequences for the MDAP through the wage increases likely to be won. These, by seriously aggravating the government's tight budgetary position, will make it more difficult for the government to increase its volume of direct defense expenditures, which it would undertake in any event only after a general and sizable increase in productivity.

- 8 -

#### APPENDIX I

#### LABOR'S GRIEVANCES

French labor expresses its basic grievances — multiple and often complicated — along four general lines:

- (1) The total income (from wages and social benefits) of many types of workers has not risen in proportion to the increase in living costs.
- (2) The working class as a whole has not received its share of the rising national income.
- (3) Particular groups of workers have suffered inequities as a result of periodic adjustments in social benefits.
- (h) Social benefits, often awarded to workers in lieu of wage increases, can (in the worker's mind) substitute only in part for actual pay.

The bulk of the workers will remain deeply dissatisfied because they will still believe that they are not individually receiving their full share of the benefits of the nation's rapid economic recovery. Should the cost of living continue to rise (see Appendix II), the workers will become more apprehensive lest their standards of living be lowered further rather than raised. Labor leaders could, therefore, be expected to intensify their demands both for wage increases and for a greater share of the national income, particularly by means of shifts in the tax burden and enforced price reductions where prifits "permit." Even a substantial increase in money

I-l

wages, if prices continue to rise, will not satisfy labor. Hence its leaders will call more insistently for major sacrifices by classes (especially entrepreneurs and farm groups), now holding the prependerance of political power.

The accentuation of inequalities and inequities in French living standards, about which the workers complain, has resulted largely from the postwar inflation in France. Because rising wages have lagged well behind price increases, the majority of workers have found themselves able to purchase a smaller share of available goods than before the war. They have watched some members of their class gain disproportionately by the government's expansion of "indirect wages" or "social benefits" — family and transportation allowances; industrial accident, medical, and hospitalization payments; and vacation pay. Meanwhile labor as a whole has watched other classes improve their living standards disproportionately by means of black market operations, price-fixing agreements, changes in taxes and tax methods, direct access to the sources of food supplies, and opportunities for large profits during the period of rising prices.

A comparison of the indexes of living costs and income for the laboring class as a whole is misleading. In December 1949, the cost-of-living
index and the average weekly income of workers (including social benefits)
were both roughly 16 times greater than they had been in 1938. A breakdown
of laboring class incomes, however, reveals glaring disparities. The total
of weekly payments to some provincial workers with five children was 25 times

### SECRET

greater than in 1938, while most Parisian workers without dependents received average total payments only 10 times greater. Most workers complain, moreover, that social benefits paid in services and not in cash (e.g., medical care and hospitalization) cannot be applied to the purchase of such essential budget items as food, clothing, and household necessities.

Quite apart from the question of relative income trends, the actual basic wages of a great mass of French workers are so low as to breed despair. Hore than one-third of the total industrial labor force receives less than 15,000 francs (345) per month in basic wages. One-half the total income of unskilled Paris Laborers without dependents is spent for food, and for many families with children, food expenditures are estimated to amount to a considerably higher percentage of the budget.

### APPENDIK II

# RECENT AND PROSPECTIVE AGGRAVATION OF WORKER DISCONTENT

In the first half of 1949, after persistent and at times rampant inflation following the war, French workers were moderately encouraged by a slow decline of the cost of living. Their fundamental complaints against inequalities and inequities remained, however, and acute hardship persisted in the low-paid categories.

Late in August 1949 a new restlessness appeared among organized labor when retail prices resumed their upward trend. This development was a result both of the severe drought and of an expansion of credit induced by fears of excessive deflation. The workers reaction to the unfavorable price trend was such that the government promptly took an unusual step over the protests of the powerful farm and industrial blocs; it invited immediate extraordinary imports of scarce goods vital to the worker's budgets by reducing many quota restrictions and customs duties.

In mid-September, devaluation intensified the existing labor dissatisfaction, as labor at once anticipated a much more powerful impetus
to the continuing price rise. An immediate result was the resignation
of the Queuille Government, which has been relatively stable, over labor's
demand for a special supplement to the monthly wage. By late November,
the leadership of the anti-Communist labor confederation Force Ouvrière
(FO) felt obliged to call a one-day general strike for the 25th, despite
the real risk of Communist exploitation.

At the beginning of 1950, the average cost-of-living index for the Parisian worker without dependents stood 4 percent above September 1949 and 18 percent above September 1948. Meanwhile combined wages and social

### II.

benefits had not risen appreciably since early September 1948, when a general increase of about 15 percent was permitted. Now labor's discontent was sufficiently acute to drive the Socialists to a long-post-poned decision, critical for governmental stability: on 3 February they withdrew from the Third Force coalition over the distribution of another wage supplement, which was under consideration by the Bidault Government for the more needy categories of workers.

A further deepening of labor discontent over the coming months is indicated. During at least the first half of 1950, labor's real income may not rise appreciably, and could even further decline. The initial rise of money wages (see Appendix III, section 4) following the recent restoration of collective bargaining will be slow, will probably not benefit all classes of workers, and will probably be largely if not completely offset by continued retail price increases. These are already foreshadowed by a 3 percent rise of wholesale prices in January. Inflactionary pressures underlying this trend at least for the coming aonths will include large-scale government investment spending, large-volume credit not subject to ceilings, declining EGA aid, any wage increase paid generally, and especially the strongly-intrenched private restrictions on price behavior. The necessary government efforts to overcome these forces will be politically impracticable for some time to come.

11-2

SECRET

#### APPENDIX III

### DIRECT EFFECTS OF LABOR'S DESSATISFACTION

# 1. Growing Unrost of Workers and Their Leaders.

A general intensification of labor's efforts to obtain satisfaction of its demands will stem from: (a) a widespread belief among the workers not only that their burdens are being made heavier but that action cannot be longer delayed; and (b) the CGT's eagerness to exploit this belief.

Labor's determination to act in the months ahead will be conditioned by the return to collective bargaining initiated in February. Under the system of government—controlled wages prevailing over the previous decade, labor could hope to achieve important gains only by a united offensive against government policy. Such effort was not, however, practicable, chiefly because or anized labor, controlling less than half of the workers, is badly split by rival confederations.\* In addition, the majority of the workers have been consistently reluctant to abot the CGT's political aims and have lost considerable faith in the ability of their leaders in general to win important economic gains.

III-J

<sup>\*</sup> Of the total labor force which could be unionized (about 9 million), less than half is controlled by the national confederations. The CGT has nearly twice as many supporters (about 2,300,000) as the combined memberships of its chief rivals: the Socialist-oriented Force Ouvrière (FO-probably under 500,000), the Christian Labor Confederation (CFTC-probably over 500,000), and the new conservative Independent Labor Confederation (CTI - about 200,000).

These factors are, however, no longer decisive handicaps to a stronger stand. As negotiations are undertaken with individual employers or industries, the more aggressive union leaders and the more aggrieved groups of workers are freer to act independently, and non-Communist leaders will no longer be immobilized by fear of the ultimate consequences of unity of action with the CGT in nation-wide strikes.

Labor's will to strike henceforth will be limited by two principal obstacles: its poor financial proparedness; and the fact that the workers are not uniformly aggrieved, in view of the substantial social benefits granted to a sizable number. Strike action will tend to be strengthened, however, insofar as it is now taken in defense of the particular interests of the local workers involved.

In addition, labor will grow more aggressive in the coming months because both the exployers and the government will persistently resist liberal concessions to the workers. Hanagement will fight to protect present profit margins,\* and to prevent price increases which would jeopardize present or prospective markets. The government will be inclined - somewhat less firmly - to check labor's pressure because labor's influence in the Cabinet has declined, and the anticipated rise in general wage levels must inevitably be extended to the nationalized industries, where any sharp increase in costs would scriously jeopardize

<sup>\*</sup> Although profit margins have not been accurately reported, the ECA lission to France believes they were "very high" in 1949, "amounting in many cases to 20 to 30 percent of sales."

SECRET

the government's financial situations

The reasons for CCT's promotion of labor militancy will be:

(a) its fundamental motive of seeking to develop labor's discontent

to the greatest possible extent in order to hasten Communist participation
in the government; (b) its determination to reverse the slow decline since

1947 of its prestige among the workers; and especially (c) its Moscou
directed mission in 1950 to impede the development of the MAT by methods
of attrition if not by inciting general social strife.

The CGT is well prepared to exert a leading influence, at least in the early stages of labor's 1950 campaign. Of all the confederations, it has the best-trained organizers, the largest funds, probably the greatest strength of purpose, and a persistent reputation as the strongest champion of all labor. Furthermore, the CGT's aggressive stand will tend to draw the non-Communist labor confederations into adopting more militant tactics in order to forestall desertions of their local unions to CGT leadership. Such a trend in non-Communist labor policy has become more likely in view of the growing urgancy of the workers' demands and the Socialists' relinquishment of governmental responsibility.

### 2. Growing Number of Strikes.

Labor's greater aggressiveness will be demonstrated principally by a multiplication of strikes and by intensified pressure on the government in support of bolder demands. The seriousness of the work stoppages will

III-3

lie in their growing number and broadth of offect on the nation's industrial life. Host will be local, inasmuch as both the CFT and the employers are promoting separate negotiations for each enterprise.

A few, however, will be widespread — for example, among metal workers, miners, railroad workers, dockers, and government workers — especially when organized by strong industry-wide non-Communist unions, or by the CCT for political purposes. Both the union treasuries and the workers themselves are, however, ill prepared financially for strikes of unlimited duration. A large number of strikes will be based on urban centers, where union control is stronger, economic grievances are more acute, and the CCT can best carry out its immediate political objectives.

Work stoppages can be expected to continue through most of 1950.

A united strike front against government policy being no longer a prerequisite, labor will consider the individual employer or industry a
more nearly equal opponent. In addition, particular demands of local
groups of workers will tend over a considerable period of time to being
fresh striking forces into labor's struggle with industry.

# 3. Intensified Political Pressure of Labor on the Government.

Labor's political pressure upon the government is likely to grow during the next few months. Labor will oppose the strong sentiment within the Cabinet both to raise as little as possible the former minimum wage (12,000 francs a month) - a power retained by the government - and to

#### III-L

restrict the right to strike. Union leaders will, moreover, support all possible legislation to undermine industry's strong position, insisting especially that the government shift a greater share of the tax burden to business and take decisive action to force price reductions.

# 4. Gradual Rise in Lioney Wages.

Despite industry's strong position for resisting labor's demands, there will be an appreciable rise in money-wage levels in 1950, perhaps amounting to 15-20 percent. Business will probably come to permit this extent of increase in view of: (a) the hope of business leaders that they may thus gain the initial tactical advantage of breaking the full impact of labor's drive; (b) the undemiable decline in real wages over recent months; and (c) the fact that the government has already found it justifiable to authorize wage supplements amounting to as much as 25 percent for low-paid workers — cost-of-living bonuses which, however, were authorized only twice in November 1949 and again in February 1950.

The anticipated extent of increase in general money wage levels, however, will be achieved by labor only after some months of collective bargaining and strikes. Industry will probably be able to limit the rise in wages by exploiting the workers' already existing fears of unemployment, by using the lock-out, and by promoting negotiations on the local rather than regional or national levels. Each industry will

### III-5

SECRET

strive determinedly to gear the size of its wage adjustments to the increase in its own productivity.

### 5. Lower Labor Morale.

Labor's morale and hence its productiveness may be reasonably expected to decline somewhat in 1950. In general, the fair probability that money wage increases may for a time be more than offset by price increases (see Appendix II) suggests that the workers will be further disillusioned after their long wait for the restoration of collective bargaining. In particular, the renewed local bargaining directly between employees and employers is likely to have a deeper effect on the workers' personal attitudes toward their jobs than did the previous negotiations between national labor confederations and the government.

III-6